## **REMARKS**

We are in receipt of the Office Action dated April 20, 2005, and the above Amendment and following remarks are made in light thereof.

Claims 1-54 are pending in the application. Claims 2, 4, 7-22, 24-25 and 27-54 are allowed. Claims 1, 3, 6 and 26 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by <u>Ting</u> U.S. 6,486,606. Claims 5 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but are indicated to otherwise be directed to allowable subject matter.

Pursuant to the foregoing Amendment, claims 1 and 3 have been amended to recite that one of the source and drain regions of the second TFT is connected to the discharge line. This finds support in the specification at e.g., page 8, lines 9-12, and Fig. 1B. With reference to Fig. 2 of <u>Ting</u>, the discharge line appears to be connected to a gate electrode of the second TFT (T2). Accordingly, claims 1 and 3 now distinguish over <u>Ting</u>.

Based upon the foregoing, Applicant respectfully submits that the application is in condition for allowance, and an early Office Action in this regard is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Stephen B. Heller

Registration No. 30,181

COOK, ALEX, MCFARRON, MANZO, CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD. 200 West Adams Street - Suite 2850 Chicago, IL 60606 (312)236-8500